Program Overview

The objective of this degree program is to prepare students to service, design, and sell hydraulic, electrohydraulic, and pneumatic equipment and systems.

Hydraulic power and motion control is rapidly expanding into numerous segments of industry. Hydraulic power and motion control graduates are employed as service or production technicians, test technicians, applications engineers, market and product engineers, quality control technicians, troubleshooters, and sales representatives.

Areas of study include:

- Power Transmission
- Properties and Application of Hydraulic Components
- System Design and Analysis
- Control Circuits
- Electrohydraulics
- Instrumentation
- Troubleshooting of Fluid Power Systems

Students utilize a state-of-the-art fluid power lab with specialized facilities and equipment in hydraulics, pneumatics and electronics. Students design, assemble, and test an array of fluid power components and systems in the fluid power lab.

Hydraulic Power and Motion Control students must complete an industry internship consisting of 450 hours of full-time employment in the field of their study. Hydraulic Power and Motion Control students also participate in state and national industry trade shows, meetings and scholarship programs.

Program Learning Goals & Outcomes

Goal 1.0
Understand engineering principles related to the fluid power industry

*Outcome 1.1*
Demonstrate skills required to measure basic engineering quantities

*Outcome 1.2*
Apply knowledge related to function and service of fluid power equipment

*Outcome 1.3*
Compare performance of components and basic fluid power systems

Goal 2.0
Understand the use of hydraulic components and mechanisms at the systems level

*Outcome 2.1*
Describe fluid power equipment components and functions of the components

*Outcome 2.2*
Apply component functions to fluid power systems and controls

*Outcome 2.3*
Evaluate safety in fluid power systems and service practices

Goal 3.0
Understand how to evaluate and optimize the performance of fluid power systems

*Outcome 3.1*
Design fluid power equipment service plans

*Outcome 3.2*
Formulate cost effective solutions to service fluid power systems on mobile equipment

*Outcome 3.3*
Analyze system and component defects then devise a resolution

Goal 4.0
Become proficient with communicating technical information to effectively work in the fluid power industry

*Outcome 4.1*
Demonstrate effective written communication skills

*Outcome 4.2*
Demonstrate effective oral communication skills

*Outcome 4.3*
Practice skills to become a cooperative team member
Assessment Fundamentals

What is assessment?
While there are certainly many answers to this question, in the context of the CFAES academic community, assessment is the practice of evaluating the manner and degree to which students in academic programs in our College are learning. Academic units and programs within CFAES have developed student learning outcomes, which are statements of the key indicators of student learning in specific programs. Assessment is designed to compare actual student performance to these predetermined student learning outcomes.

Assessment is used to respond to at least two concerns: 1) Are students learning what they are supposed to be learning? and 2) How can educators document that students are learning what they are supposed to be learning? While these two concerns are intertwined, they also fulfill separate functions. Concern one is primarily a question of academics: Are our teaching methods effective? Are our students learning what they should and as well as they should? What can we do to improve student learning? Concern one is aligned with continued improvement of teaching and learning. Concern two is aligned more with the issue of accountability. Education is increasingly being asked and even required to document that students know and can do what we say they can do and simple completion of course, program, and graduation requirements is not sufficient. Accountability requires that educators show that students can actually demonstrate what they know and can do and merely one grade on a test, a paper, or in a course is not sufficient. Accountability should flow naturally from the focus on teaching and learning.

Why do assessment?
Assessment needs to take place for at least two reasons: 1) Assessment is designed to function as continued improvement for teaching and learning.
- Assessment helps educators improve the manner and degree to which students learn what they are supposed to be learning.
- Assessment provides information that allows educators to make good decisions based on quality information about student learning.
- Assessment encourages educators to look at what they do in the classroom, how their classroom practices affect student learning, and what changes could be made in teaching methods or materials to enhance student learning.

2) We need to do assessment to remain accountable to the publics we serve.
- Students and their families should be able to see what we do in teaching and learning, and what we expect of students;
- Accrediting agencies need to know that we are effective in our teaching and learning;
- Legislative and executive governmental bodies provide funding and need to know that these funds are accomplishing their intended purposes.

Assessment Cycle

The assessment cycle considers collection and review of data on an ongoing basis to formulate recommendations for incremental programmatic change. Accumulative findings for all program goals based on the contributing outcomes are used as the cornerstones for programmatic review. Assessment provides essential information for making strategic adjustments to the academic program, which assures continuous quality improvement with the intent of improving teaching and learning.

The language of assessment . . .
Goals: Student Learning Goals are stated in terms of achievement resulting from student learning. Goals provide a broad description identifying the foundations, concepts, theories, abstractions, principles, knowledge base, and/or skills, which are the products of what students are to be able to do, know, and care about upon the completion the program. Learning goals are frequently stated using the verbiage of: understand; appreciate; know about; become familiar with; learn about; or become aware of. Reoccurring learning goal concepts/themes for CFAES programs are Critical Thinking, Communications, Academic and Professional Integrity, Diversity, and Knowledge.

The stated learning goals of the programs within CFAES have the inferred prefix of, "Students will . . .".

Outcomes: Student Learning Outcomes (SLO’s) (also commonly referred to as Expected Learning Outcomes (ELO’s) or “objectives”) are statements indicating changes in knowledge, skills, behaviors, attitudes, or values relative to a desired goal as a result of a specific activity, such as completion or participation in a program, activity, course, or project. Cognitive learning outcomes can most effectively be stated using verbs aligned with one of the six domains of the Bloom’s Taxonomy of Educational Objectives (Remembering, Understanding, Applying, Analyzing, Evaluating, or Creating). Appropriately structured outcomes serve as the supportive methods/means of measuring student attainment of the associated learning goal.

The stated expected learning outcomes of the programs within CFAES have the inferred prefix of, "Students will have the ability to . . ."
### Program Learning Goals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Courses</th>
<th>Understand engineering principles related to the fluid power industry</th>
<th>Understand the use of hydraulic components and mechanisms at the systems level</th>
<th>Understand how to evaluate and optimize the performance of fluid power systems</th>
<th>Become proficient with communicating technical information to effectively work in the fluid power industry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**ENGTECH 2191.02T**  
Hydraulic Power and Motion Control Internship

-  

**ENGTECH 2214T**  
Fundamentals of Fluid Power and Components

-  

**ENGTECH 2224T**  
Fluids, Filtration, and Fluid Conveyance

-  

**ENGTECH 2226T**  
Components of Hydraulic Circuits

-  

**ENGTECH 2238T**  
Electrohydraulics and System Design

-  

**ENGTECH 2248T**  
Instrumentation and Control Systems

-  

**ENGTECH 2312T**  
Engineering Technology Fundamentals

-  

**ENGTECH 2325T**  
Analog and Digital Electronics

---

**Assessment & Curriculum Connection**

Assessment results are used in concurrence with the program curricular map to form the underpinning for informing curricular decisions and to further enhance student learning. Curricular mapping demonstrates the opportunities for students to be introduced to knowledge (beginning), opportunities for reinforcement of knowledge (intermediate), and opportunities for students to demonstrate mastery of knowledge (advanced) relative to the stated programmatic learning goals.
Achievement Methods

Achievement of program learning goals are assessed systematically utilizing the identified means for the aligned learning outcomes via direct and indirect measures that serve as authentic assessment methods.

Direct

Direct assessment methods are means of assessment that measure students’ performance directly, are authentic, and minimize mitigating or intervening factors. In general, direct assessment methods are assessment tools that measure student learning by having students create or perform directly based on their learning. Direct methods are the direct evaluation of aggregate student achievement on specific learning outcomes.

Indirect

Indirect assessment methods are means of assessment that are steps removed from direct methods and are based upon perception of student learning from various constituents. In general indirect assessment methods infer whether learning has taken place by asking for perception of learning, typically from students, but also from those with whom they have worked. Indirect methods are tools that enable us to infer actual student achievement, very often from student self-reports of their perception of their learning.

Within the Hydraulic Power and Motion Control program’s assessment plan, the following methods have been identified as means of assessing student attainment of state learning outcomes:

### Direct

**Embedded Testing**

Student work in designated courses is collected and assessed in relation to the program learning outcomes, not just for the course grade. The assessment may be conducted at specific points in a program and the products of student work need to be considered in light of the multiple dimensions of the learning outcomes.

**Writing Assignment**

Written display of comprehension of course topic(s). This can be done through a research report, essay, journal entry, creative writing piece, or another suitable writing method.

**Practicum/Fieldwork**

This tool allows a student to observe and document how working professionals perform their job responsibilities. May involve shadowing employees who will guide the on-site experience, observing and correlating practices in the field with theories and methods previously studied, and/or recording data or assisting with tasks as directed by on-site personnel. Students also complete any practicum course assignments.

**Laboratory Report**

A report generated after the student completes designated experiment, process, research, or other laboratory procedure. The report should outline steps taken, materials used, methods, and results. This shows a student’s comprehension of laboratory procedures and methods and ability to implement learned methods.

**Other Culminating Project**

Identified course projects serve as assessment tools in appraising students’ ability to evaluate situations by collecting accurate information and using sound logic in decision making and problem solving.

**Oral Presentation**

A classroom presentation or showcasing of the results of a particular project that has been conducted by an individual student or by a group of students in fulfilling a course assignment. The presentation might take place in a classroom or over the web and would typically present the results of a course-based assignment.

### Scaffolding to Support Learning Outcomes Assessment

The primary purpose of program learning outcomes assessment is to assure that all students have the opportunity to learn what is truly valued by the program. It is not enough to simply collect data for the programmatic learning outcome assessment; these data must be used to reflect and examine whether learning expectations are being obtained and when weaknesses are discovered, needed changes are determined. Educators must remember that the foremost purpose of learning outcomes assessment is for programs to continually be improving the quality of the teaching and learning experiences that enable significant learning.

To assure that all students have the opportunity to learn what is truly valued by the program, the program must engage all faculty and instructional staff at some level of the assessment process. To be successful a program must also have leadership and a supportive scaffolding structure in place to facilitate its assessment efforts.

### Overview

The 2012 Hydraulic Power and Motion Control - AAS program assessment plan was crafted under the leadership of the program coordinator for Hydraulic Power and Motion Control located at Ohio State ATI. The CFAES Office for Teaching, Learning, and Assessment, in collaboration with the ATI Associate Director - Academic Affairs coached the program coordinator through the process by: 1) Elucidating program learning goals and developing measurable contributing outcomes; 2) Identifying the means and methods by which the embedded assessment of learning outcomes will be achieved; 3) Defining programmatic criteria for student achievement of each identified outcome; and 4) Planning for the use and implementation in the process of generating the comprehensive program learning outcomes assessment plan.

### Commitment

Development, implementation, documentation and reporting associated with the 2012 Hydraulic Power and Motion Control - AAS program learning outcomes assessment plan are coordinated through the program coordinator with adherence oversight and support provided by the ATI Assistant Director - Academic Affairs. Data collection is a collaborative endeavor between the program coordinator, course instructors and academic advisors, and students. The program coordinator partners with the CFAES Office for Teaching, Learning, and Assessment to collect, report, and review results on the basis of the Ohio State’s annual assessment reporting cycle. The program coordinator, Hydraulic Power and Motion Control program, the Agricultural and Engineering Technologies Division, and course instructors review the program, its supporting coursework, and the related assessment results annually, on an ongoing basis, to formulate recommendations for incremental programmatic change to the institute’s Academic Affairs Committee. With the goal of improving learning, instruction, and curriculum, indicators from a summary report of the findings are used to plan the incorporation of needed modifications. Accumulative findings for all program goals based on the contributing outcomes will be used as the cornerstone in the programmatic review cycle, providing essential information for making strategic adjustments to this academic program, assuring continuous quality improvement.
The data collection for the identified direct methods of the supporting learning outcomes is conducted annually (or each semester the affiliated course(s) or activities are conducted) starting Au2012. In adherence to the CFAES Academic Program Assessment Plan Revision Cycle, this program will go through a comprehensive outcomes assessment review every six years.

During the first year of implementation of a new (or re-envisioned) program assessment plan, focused attention will be given to refining the measures used for assessing achievement to assure alignment of identified assignments with outcomes. During the initial year of the plan, the program will collect and report supporting data for half of the documented learning goals.

In year two, focused efforts will explore and reexamine alignment of methods with specific program learning outcomes along with data collection and reporting on the remainder of the program learning goals (those not addressed previously).

During the third year, in addition to collecting and reporting data for all program learning goals, the program will explore conducting faculty facilitated student, alumni, and/or stakeholder focus groups and/or surveys to aid in assessing success of learning outcomes.

For year four of the cycle, supporting data will continue to be collected and reported for all program learning goals. Upon conclusion of the academic year, the academic unit, with the assistance of the CFAES Office for Teaching, Learning, and Assessment, will craft and submit to the College’s Academic Affairs Committee. An executive summary of findings for the programs based on the four years of Program Assessment Plan data collected.

In the fifth year of the cycle the program will continue collecting and reporting data for all program learning goals and the program coordinator will review the stated set of program learning goals to determine if modifications should be made in the forthcoming rendition of the program assessment plan.

During year six, data collection and reporting for all program learning goals will continue. In addition, the unit, upon notification from the CFAES Office for Teaching, Learning, and Assessment will work with ATI Assistant Director - Academic Affairs to assemble and convene a formal programmatic assessment review team, comprised of faculty, staff, students, alumni, and stakeholders, to do the following program evaluation: 1) Review the accumulated findings from the assessment review cycle; 2) Appraise the achievement and success of the program; 3) Examine alignment of program learning goals and outcomes; and 4) Produce a summary of recommendations for program modifications and enhancement. The efforts of the team’s comprehensive review of the individual Program Assessment Plan in “year six” will produce a “re-envisioned” plan.
Assessment Findings

Reporting Synopsis
Data from identified methods (measures) were collected and reported as evidence of achievement of program learning goals via supporting outcomes (objectives) for the 2012-2016 assessment reporting cycles. Collectively in an annual meeting (review colloquy) the CFAES Office for Teaching, Learning, and Assessment, the program coordinator for the Hydraulic Power and Motion Control program, and the ATI Assistant Director - Academic Affairs elaborated upon the process by which the program was going to review and use evidence (findings/results). They also discussed the procedure which was going to be followed for taking future actions and examined the approach for future planning for the program. One of the primary topics of discussion at these meetings was exploring how the information gathered about student learning was to be shared with the division’s faculty, instructional staff, and leadership, and how to use it for improvement of learning outcomes.

This document, the Assessment Executive Summary which is a collaborative report compiled by the program coordinator, the ATI Assistant Director - Academic Affairs, and the Office for Teaching, Learning, and Assessment, is to serve as a mechanism for sharing the status of the program’s assessment activities and results with the program faculty and instructional staff, ATI leadership, stakeholders, the institute’s Committee on Academic Affairs, the college’s Assessment Committee and CFAES Committee on Academic Affairs.

This summary covers 24 of the 24 identified methods for the 12 supporting outcomes of the 4 program learning goals of this program learning outcomes assessment plan were reviewed during the 2012-2016 assessment reporting cycle.

Use of Assessment Findings

Use and Actions Taken
Any changes and/or modifications to this program and/or its learning outcomes assessment plan resulting from these assessment results were explored while reflecting upon collected and reported assessment data during the annual Autumn semester review colloquy. Resulting desired adjustments were then enacted during the following assessment reporting cycle.

The program’s coordinator, in cohort with the ATI Assistant Director - Academic Affairs, the CFAES Office for Teaching, Learning, and Assessment, and other faculty and instructional staff has examined the program, its supporting course work, and the related assessment findings on an ongoing basis to formulate recommendations for incremental change. Areas for which assessment data has and will be used include:

- Analyzing and discussing trends with the unit’s faculty
- Analyzing and reporting to college/school
- Making improvements in curricular requirements
- Making improvements in course content
- Making improvements in course delivery and learning activities within courses
- Making improvements in learning facilities, laboratories, and/or equipment
- Periodically confirming that current curriculum and courses are facilitating student attainment of program goals

Learning Goal 1.0 has three identified unique contributing/supporting Learning Outcomes for which attainment is appraised via the use of six assessment methods (student n = 64 for reported assessment methods data)

Learning Goal 2.0 has three discerned contributing/supporting Learning Outcomes for which student achievement is gauged by the use of six assessment methods (student n = 48 for reported assessment methods data)

Learning Goal 3.0 has three discerned contributing/supporting Learning Outcomes for which student achievement is gauged by the use of six assessment methods (student n = 22 for reported assessment methods data)

Learning Goal 4.0 has four established contributing/supporting Learning Outcomes for which student accomplishment is rated by using six assessment measures (student n = 58 for reported assessment methods data)